

Jakarta EE Spec Committee - June 15th, 2022

Attendees (present in bold):

Kenji Kazumura - Fujitsu

Tom Watson - IBM - **Emily Jiang**

Ed Bratt - Oracle - **Dmitry Kornilov**

Andrew Pielage - Payara - **Petr Aubrecht**

David Blevins - Tomitribe - Jean-Louis Monteiro, Cesar Hernandez

Ivar Grimstad - PMC Representative

Marcelo Ancelmo - Participant Member - Martijn Verburg

Werner Keil - Committer Member

Jun Qian - Primeton - Enterprise Member

Zhai Luchao - Shandong Cvicse Middleware Co. - Enterprise Member

Eclipse Foundation: **Tanja Obradovic**, **Paul Buck** (chair)

Guests: **Scott Stark**, **Scott Marlow**

Past business / action items:

- Approval is requested for the meeting minutes from the June 1st, 2022 meeting as drafted - Approved.

Agenda:

- Ongoing tracking [spreadsheet](#) of individual specs progressing through the [JESP](#)
 - Jakarta EE 10 Release Review - Specifications
 - PRs <https://github.com/jakartaee/specifications/pulls>
 - Release Plan
<https://eclipse-ee4j.github.io/jakartaee-platform/jakartaee10/JakartaEE10ReleasePlan>
 - Wiki <https://github.com/eclipse-ee4j/jakartaee-platform/wiki/JakartaEE10>
- The [Jakarta Data project proposal](#) is out for Community Review
<https://www.eclipse.org/lists/jakarta.ee-spec.committee/msg03197.html>
 - Now is the time that the Specification Committee works with the proposal team to get the proposal into a state where they are ready to lock it down and start an approval ballot

Emily has agreed to be the Spec Comm mentor for Jakarta Data

Feedback from Tomitribe was passed on to the project team, ee-spec discussion list

- “Parking lot” items from previous meetings, deferred to get to after EE 10 release is done
 - Rebasing the JESP on the EFSP 1.3
 - From [January 12th](#) - The EFSP has been updated to [EFSP 1.3](#), the Specification Committee needs to review and consider future updates to the [JESP 1.3](#) [also reference [November 3rd, 2021 minutes](#)]
 - Ivar to open a PR for the update
 - Specification Committee members are encouraged to review the EFSP 1.3 and provide input on the PR
 - How to handle optional features in Specifications
 - From [July 14th, 2021](#) - For background see proposal from Dan, Kevin & Scott
 - From [August 25th, 2021](#) - See ballot results for the “RESOLUTION: The Jakarta EE Specification Committee resolves that no new optional features may be added in Jakarta EE 10 and beyond in component, Platform, or Profile specifications.”
 - “TCK Archive Format”, see [jakarta.ee-spec.committee] [External] : Re: TCK archive format? (Consider allowing JAR or ZIP)
 - For background see - <https://www.eclipse.org/lists/jakartaee-platform-dev/msg03268.html>
 - Annual Reviews - Specification Projects must engage in at least one Progress or Release Review per year while in active development <https://www.eclipse.org/lists/jakarta.ee-spec.committee/msg03192.html>
- Ed proposed that a focus area is simplification and automation (where possible), re-engage with initiative that was getting underway in the early days of EE 10

Proposal to use a Github issues (Spec Committee repo) to document and track these items - <https://github.com/jakartaee/specification-committee/issues>

Spec Committee requested open any issues that are areas to improve post EE 10, Paul to open issues for the topics referenced above starting with “optional features”

- “Operation, proces issues to discuss” email from Scott Stark <https://www.eclipse.org/lists/jakarta.ee-spec.committee/msg03201.html>
Not discussed, to be included as issues as the other topics above.